Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/733,298	HONG, CHIN-TAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barbara J. Musser	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application:	Allowed
(1) <u>Barbara J. Musser</u> .	(3)	·
(2) Morton Rosenberg.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 September 2005	Time:	•
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	the examiner will provide a will be record of the substance of t	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested adding the material worked upon to the claim in combination with the device as part of the apparatus to provide an environment for the apparatus and applicant agreed. Applicant agreed to grammar changes to the claims and to indicating the apparatus caused the folds to fall on the corners of the bottle..